

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,066	04/28/2005	Keiji Iwamoto	63286(46342)	5897
21874 EDWARDS A	7590 07/24/200 NGELL PALMER & E	EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 55874			DANG, IAN D	
BOSTON, MA	X 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1647		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/533,066	IWAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
IAN DANG	1647	

	IAN DANG	1647						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
periods:  a)  The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause					
<ul> <li>(a)          ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core         (b)          ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown)</li> </ul>		E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. \( \subseteq  for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) rejected: 22 and 49. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/Manjunath N. Rao, /	lan Dang, AU 1647							

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1647

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The newly added limitations "wherein the cell is present in a jejunal slice in an intestinal organ culture system" and "active glucose transport activity" in claim 22 would raise new matter issue under 35 USC 112, First paragraph. In the response filed 6/10/2008, Applicants did not indicate support in the specification for the amendments made to claim 22. In addition, the Examiner was not able to find support for the amendments after a perusal of the specification.